The C.I.A.: Qualities of Director of State, Allen Dulles had no need to chafe under political an almost equal fascination for an almost equal fascination for devices both edges of the state of the secretary had an almost equal fascination for devices both edges of the secretary had an almost equal fascination for devices both edges of the secretary had an almost equal fascination for devices both edges of the secretary had an almost equal fascination for devices both edges of the secretary had an edge of t

Special to The New York Fimes

washington in the summer of lectual effort to separate fact with direction fancy, evidence from sussible, about its meaning.

Mean of the Times staff members. White House and the State Department, but it was consistent the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, a first missiles, poured into the summer of lectual effort to separate fact intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the content of the cut in the partment, but it was consistent the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the partment, but it was consistent the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is moved in the intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, it is in this kind of intentions of a foreign head of state with the remark: "Oh, i

Moscow had to be designed surveyed by The New York ultimately to protect even more Times. MONITOR—J. A. FURCHAK that is until whatever more timportant installations—long And it is when these qualities have been lacking, the same officials and experts believe, that the C.I.A. most often has become involved in those accomplished that it was a personal controlled, makes its outspecified that it was a personal controlled to wide the congressional at the C.I.A. there was a the C.I.A. there was what he believed in his heart Soviet Union and finding a Lao- and shielded from any unpleasit was doing.

thecome operative. But when the tant. President decided on his block- A 20 per cent chance to over-ade-and-uitima tum' policy, Mr. throw a leftist regime in Gua-temala through a C.I.A.-spon-sored invasion was all he

He had backed such proposals and with accounts of the rosince his years as chairman of Rosevelt in arousing Iranian the Atomic Energy Commission mobs against Mohammed Mossadegh to restore the Shah to this throne.

Following is the last of five Nevertheless, because of his crusade.

articles on the Central Intelli- desire that the facts should be Pe gence Agency. The articles are furnished a C.I.A. staff expert by a team of New York Times to assist Senator John Stennis, correspondents consisting of Democrat of Mississippi, chair-Tom Wicker, John W. Finney, man of an Armed Services sub-Max Frankel, E. W. Kenworthy committee and an opponent of and other Times staff members. White House and the State De-

A Gambling Man

When the evidence that the Russians had impianted offen siasm. Sometimes the profits six When the Eisenhower Adminsive missiles in Cuba did come were great; sometimes the profits in Cuba did come were great; sometimes the information came to an end in 1961, in, Mr. McCone was among losses were greater.

To Allow Dulles's grankling was one of President Kennedy's those around the President Rennedy's the Russians that the kindled Mr. Dulles's enthusians the profits that the kindled Mr. Dulles's enthusians the profits in Cuba did come were great; sometimes the latest the profits in Cuba did come were greater.

To Allow Dulles's grankling the consequences.

heiped carry it out.

Test-Ban Hearings

In 1963, Mr. McCone was personally in favor of the proposed limited nuclear test-ban treaty, of the United Arab Parameter in the interesting and stability to the new lit seems—Ignorant of the Operations Division's specific plan to invade Cuba. And he began to invad

devious, back-ailey adventure in what he saw as a worldwide

Personal Judgments

Neither brother earned his high reputation by taut and businesslike administration. Both placed supreme confidence in their personal judgments.

Colleagues recall many oc-casions on which Alien Dulles

er held the second-highest of ing the senior members of the cstimates—based on ail avail from West to East Berlin, fly-whose President completely the Soviet and completely the solution was not likely to do of antiaircraft weapons over the was able to act almost at will members of a resuscit what he believed in his heart Soviet Inton and finding at and shielded from any simpless. Presidential advisors to the solution of a resuscit what he believed in his heart Soviet Inton and finding at any simpless.

those around the President who argued for quick, decisive air losses were greater.

To Alien Dulies, a gambing first acts, Mr. Dulles, like J. intelligence est action before the missiles could of success was more important but the chance before the missiles could of success was more important but of the greater.

Read of the Federal Bur when the fant Bureau of Investigation at the sion between operations same time, had great prestige analysis that had kept

nesses as C.I.A. director—
The intellectual level of rather than, as so often before, meetings among intelligence of his strengths—that came to the ficials at the C.I.A. and other fore, He was committed to the agencies improved greatly unCuba invasion plan, at all costs, der Mr. McCone, primarily beagainst. whatever an objections cause he put difficult, and in

Richard M. Bissell, made what ever changes were required in order to keep the pian alive. For instance, they switched the landing site from the Trinidad area to the Bay of Pigs, to achieve more secrecy, thereby accepting an inferior beachhead site and separating the refugee force of invaders from the force of invaders from the Escambray Mountains, where they were supposed to operate as guerrillas, by 80 miles of

Armed Services and Appropriacompletely tions Committees on Capitol Presidential advisory board to

his view of intelligence policies. But those who observed him work believe he also brought a keen intelligence and energy Istration came to an end in 1961, to a tough-minded administra-Allen Dulles's reappointment tion of the agency itself and to was one of President Kennedy's careful, challenging study of its first acts. Mr. Dulles, like J. intelligence estimates and rec-

He broke down the rigid divisame time, had great prestige analysis that had kept the and was thought to lend conti- C.I.A.'s analysts—incredible as multy and stability to the new it seems—ignorant of the Oper-C.I.A.'s analysts-incredible as ations Division's specific plan to invade Cuba. And he began to subject the C.I.A.'s own action

cisive 'questions to the proved For Release 2003/03/25 : CIA-RDP68B00432R000500020030-6 paring formal analyses and plans, forcing them to challenge and defend their own judgments.

Above all, he set the hard example himself of putting aside personal preference, in-formed guesses and long gambles in favor of realistic weighing of avallable evidence and close adherence to administration policy.

Ho brought specialists and experts into conferences and decision-making at a much higher level of policy than before. Often he took such men with him to meetings at the Cabinet level. This exposed them to policy considerations as never before, and put policy-makers more closely in touch with the experts on whose "facts" they were acting.

As chairman of the United States Intelligence Board group that brings together representatives from the Defense Intelligence Agency, the State Department's intelligence unit and others—Mr. McCone won a reputation for objectivity by frequently overruling the pro-posals of his own agency, the

Some Criticism, Too

His regime was not without its critics. Many officials be-lieve he narrowed the C.I.A.'s range of interests, which was as wide as the horizons under the imaginative Alien Dulles. For instance, they say, he was slow to mobilize the C.I.A. to obtain information about nuclear programs in India, Israel and other nations.

Mr. McCone also tried, but failed, to end interagency rivalrics. He spent much time in bltter dispute with Secretary of ate a political policy decision.

State would like to do for itself.

On the agency's side, there is undoubtedly some resentment at the State Department's recently increased political control of C.I.A. operations, For instance, until April 28, 1965, the day President Johnson ordered the Marines into Santo Domingo, the C.I.A. had reported the possibility of a resolution of three Communist-controlled groups functioning in the Dominican in the process of government men are more important in the process of government men are more important interests of the subcommittees. Those on the Senate side are instance, until April 28, 1965, among present and former of instance, until April 28, 1965, among present and former of ficials that the problem of conditioning the C.I.A. must begin that the director reveals only Congressional oversight is that the director





Associated Press

CHIEFS OF THE C.I.A.: Allen W. Dulles, left, was replaced by John A. McCone, center, in 1961. Present director, Adm. William F. Raborn, right, has held the post for a year.

confirming Intelligence — some of it open to challenge by an alert reader. C.I.A, officials seem a little red-faced about this compliance, and the intima-tion is that the C.I.A. may have gone overboard in trying not to undermine but to substanti-

ate a political policy decision.

Within the Bounds of Policy
Defense Robert S. McNamara
about divisions of labor and
costs in technological programs
and about chairs of command
in Vletnam. He is reported to
have feared the growth of the
Defense Intelligence Agency as
an invasion of C.I.A. territory.

With the Stale Department,
too, rivalry continued—and still
does, Much of this can be attributed, on the diplomats' side
to the U.I.A.'s readier access
to the Upper levels of government and to its financial ability
to underwrite tie kind of rescarch and field operations that
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, there is
State would like to do for itself.
On the agency's side, the side and the
ficrce lovaity to the agency that the director holds back
to the kennedgy Administions on the value of these sesthat they are conflicting views

Conflicting Views

Conflicting Views

There are conflicting views

bellion and it knew of three sent.

The far more general belief members insisting on answers to questions.

The far more general belief members insisting on answers to questions.

Republic, but the agency had not suggested an imminent trol of the agency. This belief is reinforced by the fact that over.

When the President and his advisers became persuaded that the hands of a chosen few, and there was such a threat, how wishes to tell even these few, ever, C.I.A. agents supplied Approved for Release 2003/03/2 save | Approved for Release 2003/03/2 save | Approved for Congress

There are four subcommen believe they should protect mittees of the Senate and House the sensitive C.I.A. budget, as it director reports.

Mr. McCone met about once critics. a month with the subcommittees. The present director, Adm. William F. Raborn, meets tees. with them somewhat more

Armed Services and Appropriationnes to them, from the Contions Committees to which the gressional economy bloc and the agency's more determined

> As a result of this and other Congressional representations, the C.I.A, "slush fund" for emergencies has been reduced

to investigate the activities of the C.I.A. and other intelligence arms; to cheek on the C.I.A.'s relations with other executive departments, study its budget and exercise greater and more intelligent oversight than the present diffused subcommittees, which operate withlout staff and with little or no representation from members most concerned with foreign affairs.

A Fountain of Leaks

But the overwhelming consensus of those most knowledgeable about the C.I.A, now and in the past, does not support the Idea that Congress should "control" the C.I.A. A Congress port number of reasons are adduced:

9Security. Congress is the rations of and pressures on exert a direct policy influence

Politics. Any standing committee would have to be biminority party members well as dissidents in the malegislate the separation of the other country, which spring in the secrets of the executive branch and to make the executive branch and to make the executive branch and elosed, might well have wrecked the Kennedy Administration after the Bay of Pigs.

The Constitution. The C.I.A. acts at the direction of the President and the National Security Council. If a Congres-sional committee had to be indent's right to conduct foreign policy.

Control. If a earcfully ehosen committee conscientiously tried to avoid all these dangers, it could probably ex-ercise little real "control" of ercise little real the kind critics desire. At best, for instance, it could probably do little more than investigate some questionable operations in secrecy and after they had taken place, and then report privately to the President, who

monitoring of intelligence activity.

The critics insist that Congress has a duty periodically Congress would be certain to in the field.

The critics insist that Congress would be certain to in the field.

The critics insist that Congress would be certain to in the field.

The critics insist that Congress would be certain to in the field.

The critics insist that Congress would be certain to in the field. set off public debate and re-

pressures on the agency. in Washington do not accept the They note that the department, Joint Committee on Atomic for instance, can by law ship Energy as a desirable model arms only to recognized gov for oversight of the C.I.A. They ernments that undertake cer ergy Committee has developed its own staff of experts in its field, in some eases abier men than those in the Atomic Energy Commission, and these Congressional experts now have a vested interest in their own ideas of atomic policy and projects.

An Empire Forescen

This, these sources fear, would be the outcome of a members make them eager to appear in print; they do not have the executive responsibility weighing on them, and many C.I.A. operations could provide dramatic passages in campaign of control.

Exerct a direct policy influence on the C.I.A., separate from years ago. When the Army won control of the operation in a policy decisions. This would distribute over the agency and confuse beginning was lost in a classic over the agency and confuse bit of military mismanagement, rather than clarify the problem of control.

As for the State Department's of control.

This would give have been advanced. The most the department survive the in-party members — as most interesting would be the evitable exposure of some bit of most interesting—would be to political skulduggery in some legislate the separation of the other country, when it is sup-

political capital of mistakes or Bay of Pigs, rejected a preposal President Kennedy, after the controversial policies. Republicans, for instance, armed with intelligence and analysis agen- haps more feasible approach section of the State Depart-appropriations. ment,

have had the great advantage, that a subcommittee of the Senin terms of control, of divorcing ate Foreign Relations Commitsional committee had to be informed in advance of C.I.A. schemes from the source of inactivities, covert and overt,
there might well be a direct
sion to act must be made. Thus,
Congressional breach of the conthe covert operators would have
the covert operators would have utive branch and of the Presi- no more information than any- lations Committee, Mike Mansno more information than any-lations Committee, Mike Mans-one else in government, no field of Montana, the Senate viewed, the question of control power to shape, color, withhold Democratic leader, and George ultimately came down to the or manufacture information, D. Aiken of Vermont, a Repub-ealiber and attitude of the men

It would also reduce the sheer size and power of the C.I.A. within the Government, much

nists" and has not a few "professional liberals." In its
overt and covert efficiency of gress are chosen, the net effect a bad day—the one on which
the intelligence effort. Those is that the agency usually manwho favor, the present combined ages to have itself checked by
that would irofoundly offend
agency insist that intelligence lits better the control of gress are chosen, the net effect a bad day—the one on which
the intelligence effort. Those is that the agency usually manwho favor, the present combined ages to have itself checked by
rines to Santo Domingo last

Moreover, they point out that eriminations and lay a whole so-called paramilitary opera-new set of domestic political tions are more easily transferred on paper than in fact Policy, Knowledgeable men to the Defense Department. point out that the Atomic Entlain obligations in return, and cannot legally arm or assist, say, rebel groups or mercen-aries, even for laudable pur-

Nor could the Defense Department easily acquire the skill, the convenient "covers," the political talents and bureaucratle flexibility required for quick, improvised action in time of crisis.

As evidence of that, there is joint committee on intelligence the case of the successful politleaks than any other body in Capitol Hill that could in time of hill tribesmen in Vietnam

As for the State Department's Other recommendations for taking over covert operations, Congressional intervention the opponents ask, how could

A Less Drastic Plan

all the facts and testimony that intelligence and analysis agen- haps more feasible approach investigation could have disputible an analysis agen- haps more feasible approach investigation could have disputible and analysis agen- haps more feasible approach investigation could be to add knowledgeable approach and analysis agen- haps more feasible approach investigation could be to add knowledgeable approach and analysis agen- haps more feasible approach investigation could be to add knowledgeable approach appro have political operations under a Congressional experts in foreign dmin-small and largely anonymous affairs to the military and subcommittees that now check on the C.I.A.

If accepted, this plan would backed by Senator McCarthy-'Along this line is the idea operators and their tec should be added to the ex- ing and breaking codes, spends

and could, in effect, do only lican member of the Foreign who run the C.I.A., and par-what they were told to do by Relations Committee, might bring greater balance and senwatchdog subcommittees.

of "professional anti-Communists" and han not a few "professional liberals." In its overt and worldwide a structure. It is overt and worldwide a structure.

- that taxors manapropered febro-Redeased 12003/03/2500, GIA-RDR68B00432R00050002003016se who can best

Finally, many observers consider that it might be useful for some select, nonpermanent committee of independentminded members of Congress to make a thorough, responsible study of the whole intelligence community. Such a group might set out to determine how much of the community's activity is actually needed or useful, and how much of the whole apparatus might be reduced in size and expense—and thus in the kind of visibility that brings the C.I.A. Into disrepute oversens and at home,

One former offical said quite seriously that he was not sure how much the nation would lose in vital services if all the activities of the C.I.A apart from those dealing with technological espionage-satclites and the like-had their budgets arbitrarily reduced by half.

A number of others suggested that it was possible for a great many of the C.I.A.'s informastudy projects to be handled openly by the State Department, if only Congress would appropriate the money for it.

But the State Department is traditionally starved for funds by members of Congress who seoff at the "cookie-pushers" and the "striped-pants boys," The same members are often quite willing to appropriate big sums, almost blindly, for the secret, "tough" and occasionally glamorous activities of the spies, saboteurs and mysterious experts of the C.I.A.

As another example of what a specially organized, responsible Congressional investigation might discover, some officials expressed their doubts about the National Security Agency. This Defense Department arm specializes in makabout \$1-billion a year—twice as much as the C.I.A.—and, in the opinion of many who know its work, hardly earns its keep.

The present director, Admiral sitivity to the present group of Raborn, is a man who earned a high reputation as the de-Most of those interviewed in veloper of the Navy's Polaris of which is based on its combination of functions—providing information, proposing action and having the ability to carry it out.

Most of those interviewed in veloper of the Navy's Function in the New York Times survey for missile but who had no prevition and having the ability to function and having the ability to carry it out.

Most of those interviewed in veloper of the New York Times survey for missile but who had no prevition and having the ability to function of the carry it out. bers of the subcommittees. to other high Administration
While the excuse for giving officials.

Inauspicious Start

the imminent intervention. As they parted, Admiral Raborn offered Mr. McCone a ride to the Langley, Va., headquarters of The admiral is said to have the C.I.A But Mr. McCone said President Johnson's confidence, he was going home to pack his clothes.

Those who know of this exchange have a hunch that if in his old office, the history of the intervention might have been different, Many are inclined to blame Admiral Rathat there was a threat of a Communist uprising.

One reason the admiral was chosen, after President Johnson John McCone left the post was had searched for six months for a successor to Mr. McCone, was that as head of the Polaris project he had shown great ability to work with and mollify inquisitive Congressmen.

Another was that his milltary background made him an unlikely target for charges of being too "soft" or too liberal for his post. The same consideration influenced President Kennedy in choosing the con-servative Republican John Mc-Cone, and it is notable that no leading figure of the Democratic party, much less one of officers its liberals, has ever been the communi agency's director. Because of his lack of ex-

perience in intelligence, and international affairs, it is widely believed among present and former officials that Admiral Raborn was chosen primarily as a "front man." Ironically, the Congress that he was supposed to impress is actually concerned—interviews disclosed because he has not seemed to have the sure grasp of the agency's needs and activities that would most inspire confidence in it.

Raborn Defended

Knowledgeable sources say day business, is a bureaucracy like any other, functioning routinely whatever the quality of its leadership. These sources argue that the experience and professionalism of its staff are qualities in Admiral Raborn is scarcely felt.

But they do not agree that "Red" Raborn is just a front man. He is different—as would be expected-from any director who preceded him, but there is evidence available to suggest that he may not be such an unfortunate choice as has been suggested in a number of critical articles in the press.

The admiral has President

dent's decisions, not only with facts but also with opinions and recommendations.

although in a different way from the confidence President Kennedy placed in Mr. McCone. The latter was a valued mem-Mr. McCone had accepted the ber of the group that argued invitation and returned to the out high policy and influenced turmoil that quickly developed the President's decisions, not with facts but also with opinions and recommendations.

Admiral Raborn is said to to make little effort to exert born, in any event, for the such an influence on policy. mishmash of hasty evidence Partly, this is because Mr. Johnthe C.I.A. contrived to justify the State Department's claim the C.I.A. director in such a role -and among those interviewed by The New York Times there was a belief that one reason that he could not play as influential a role as he had in the Kennedy Administration.

> Among knowledgeable officials, moreover, Admirai Raborn is credited with at least two administrative developments within the agency—both stemming, again, from his Navy background.

> He has installed an operations center, not unlike a military command post or a Navy ship's "combat information center." In it, round-the-clock duty constantly monitor communications of every sort. They can instantly communicate with the White House, State Department, Pentagon and agents in the field, by means of the agency's wizardry with machines and electronies.

> This represents primarily a drawing together and stream-lining of capabilities the agency already had, but it is rated as positive advance in C.I.A. efficiency.

Long-Range Planning

The other Raborn innovation is a Navy-like system of longrange management planning. He has assigned a group of officials to "look ahead" for decades at the shape of the world to come.

the admiral hopes to be able to agency's needs in manpower, money, equipment and organiso great that any lack of theso zation in, say, 1975, so that it can be planned for right now.

There persists among many interested in the C.I.A., however, a reluctance to accept the ing on its own.

Idea that the agency should be gWhen C.I.A. operations ac-

group, he was probably second only to the director of the C.I.A. in maintaining "control" and took an intense interest in this dutv.

Thus, if the White House replacements, Bill D. Moyers and Walt W. Rostow, prove either less interested or less forceful in representing the White House interest in C.I.A. operations, and if Admiral Raborn's alieged if Admiral Raborn's alieged the danger of its getting out of lack of experience in intellicontrol of the Administration gence and foreign affairs handi- exists and ought to be taken caps him, effective control of the agency could be weakened without any change at all in the official processes of control.

Promotion Debate

before the end of the admiral's President, his highest officials first year that the difficulties and the director of the C.I.A. of finding a succession of suit- It can only be met peripherally able C.I.A. directors made it ad-visable to promote impressive then with increased danger of professionals from within the security leaks and domestic po-

The most widely respected of these is the deputy director, Richard Helms, who was said to so widespread and in many have been Mr. McCone's choice ways so exaggerated that the to succeed him.

Others argue, however, that intelligence is too dangerous a thing to be left to professional sples and that a loyal associate of the President's with the politleal qualifications for a senfor Cabinet position should hold the post.

Whatever his identity, how ever, the prime conclusion of The New York Times survey of the Central Intelligence Agency is that its director is or should be the central figure in establishing and maintaining the actual substance of control, what ever its forms may take. For if the director insists, and bends all his efforts to make sure, that the agency serve the political administration of the government, only blind chance or ineptitude in the field is likely to take the C.I.A. out of political control.

Conclusions of Study

A number of other conclusions also emerge from the study:

qWhatever may have been Out of this continuing study, the situation in the past, and the admiral hopes to be able to whatever misgivings are felt make more precise plans for the about Admiral Raborn, there is now little concern in the Johnson Administration or among former high officials, and there is even less evidence, that the C.I.A. is making or sabotaging foreign polley or otherwise act-

headed by anyone other than an quire a life of their own and experienced, strong executive outrun approved policy, they with a wide grasp of interna-joften follow a pattern well tional affairs and intelligence known also in less secret arms work, strong ties to the Administration and the knowledge and quently say more than they are
determination to keep the agency's work within the limits of ments or otherwise exceed their

Admiral Raborn and Approved a Ford Release 2003/03/25the CYAURD P68-00432R000500300300-aces and men in eccasor, Mr. McCone, lunched ter was a valued member of Bundy, now president of the ways not envisioned by Washtogether in downtown Washington that argued out high Ford Foundation. As Mr. Johnston, Military operations can ton that afternoon, unaware of policy and influenced the President Son's representative on the 54-12 escalate by their own locks and when things go wrong the Pentagon has at times been more reluctant than the C.I.A. in producing the facts.

Wonotheless, while C.I.A. acts as the Government's fountain of information as well as its "black" operating arm, while it is the C.I.A. that both proposes operations and supplies the facts to justify them, seriously within and without the Government. The Bay of Pigs stands as enduring testimony to that fact.

The task of coping with this Some people concluded even planger is essentially that of the litical pressures on the agency.

The charges against the C.I.A. at home and abroad are effectiveness and morale of the agency may be seriously im²⁹ paired. In particular, there could ultimately be a problem? in recruiting and keeping the high callber of personnel upon whom the agency must rely both for doing useful work and. for keeping that work within proper bounds.

' Crucial Questions

Thus, there must be in this and in any Administration and tight, relentless, searching re-s view and analysis of the C.I.A. and its activities, meeting squarely and answering honest-

ly at least these questions:

Is any proposed operation of activity likely, on balance, to make a genuine and necessary contribution, in the long view; as well as the short, to legitie, mate American interests and, aspirations in the world, or isit merely convenient, expedient; and possible without regard to its wider implications or to the real necessity for it?

. In sum, is the government of a proud and honorable people; relying too much on operations, "dirty tricks," harsh and illicit acts in the "back ale leys" of the world? Is there some point at which meeting fire with fire, force with force, subversion with subversion, crime with crime, becomes so prevalent and accepted that there no longer remains any distinction of honor and pride, between grin and implacable adversaries?

These questions are a proper, and necessary concern for the people of the United States, They are a proper and necessary, concern for Congress. But in the The admiral has President cy's work within the limits of ments or otherwise exceed uneit lines of the case, neither the lines of different way from the lines oncern has been height propaganda operations; though confidence President Kennedy and by the departure from "public," can commit the United care, neither the people nor Congress can easily learn the answers, much less included the answers are all the people nor Congress can easily confidence President Kennedy and by the departure from "public," can commit the United care that the answers are all the people nor Congress can easily confidence President Kennedy and by the departure from "public," can commit the United care.

Continues.

Page 5

ways the right ones.

The President's Task

That can only be done within, the executive branch, by the highest authorities of the Government. Controlling the C.I.A. is a job that rests squarely upon the President of the United States, the director of the agency and the officials appointed by the President to check its work. And if these men are to insist that they do control the agency, then they are the ones who must be blamed if control fails.

falls.

"Those who believe that the United States Government on occasion resorts to force when it shouldn't," Richard Bissell, the C.I.A.'s former deputy director, once said, "should in all fairness and justice direct their views to the question of national policy and not hide behind the criticism that whereas the President and Cabinet generally are enlightened people, there is an evil and ill-controlled agency which imports this sinister elegent."

The New York Times study of the C.I.A. suggests that it is not an invisible government but the real government of the United States upon which the responsibility must lie whenever the agency may be found "out of control." For if that responsibility is accepted, there can be no invisible government.